#### Scalable Solutions for DNA Sequence Analysis Michael Schatz

March 11, 2010 Argonne National Lab





#### Outline

- I. Genome Assembly by Analogy
- 2. DNA Sequencing and Genomics
- 3. MapReduce for Sequence Analysis
  - I. K-mer counting
  - 2. Read Mapping & Genotyping
  - 3. Genome Assembly

#### Shredded Book Reconstruction

Dickens accidentally shreds the first printing of <u>A Tale of Two Cities</u>
 – Text printed on 5 long spools



- How can he reconstruct the text?
  - 5 copies x 138, 656 words / 5 words per fragment = 138k fragments
  - The short fragments from every copy are mixed together
  - Some fragments are identical



### **Greedy Reconstruction**



The repeated sequence make the correct reconstruction ambiguous

• It was the best of times, it was the [worst/age]

Model sequence reconstruction as a graph problem.

#### de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $D_k = (V, E)$ 
  - V = All length-k subfragments (k < l)</li>
  - E = Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
    - Nodes overlap by k-1 words



- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
  - Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed

de Bruijn, 1946 Idury and Waterman, 1995 Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001



# Counting Eulerian Tours $A \xrightarrow{B} \\ R \xrightarrow{C} \\ C \xrightarrow{R} \\ C \xrightarrow$

Generally an exponential number of compatible sequences

- Value computed by application of the BEST theorem (Hutchinson, 1975)

$$\mathcal{W}(G,t) = (\det L) \left\{ \prod_{u \in V} (r_u - 1)! \right\} \left\{ \prod_{(u,v) \in E} a_{uv}! \right\}^{-1}$$
  
L = n x n matrix with  $r_u$ - $a_{uu}$  along the diagonal and  $-a_{uv}$  in entry uv  
 $r_u = d^+(u) + l$  if  $u = t$ , or  $d^+(u)$  otherwise  
 $a_{uv}$  = multiplicity of edge from u to v

Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads. Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (2010) *BMC Bioinformatics*.

#### Genomics



Your genome influences (almost) all aspects of your life

- Anatomy & Physiology: 10 fingers & 10 toes, organs, neurons
- Diseases: Sickle Cell Anemia, Down Syndrome, Cancer
- Psychological: Intelligence, Personality, Bad Driving

Your environment also influences your life

- Genome as a recipe, not a blueprint

### Genomics across the Tree of Life



#### **Selected Genomes**

- *M. gallopavo* (Folkerts et al., 2010\*)
- A. dorsata (Ruepell et al., 2010\*)
- V. destructor (Cornman et al., 2010\*)
- *N. ceranae* (Cornman et al., 2009)
- B. taurus (Zimin et al., 2009)
- *C. papaya* (Ming et al., 2008)
- X. oryzae (Salzberg et al., 2008)
- T. vaginalis (Carlton et al., 2007)
- Drosophila (Drosophila 12 genomes consortium, 2007)
- B. malayi (Ghedin et al., 2007)
- A. aegypti (Nene et al., 2007)
- Campylobacter (Fouts et al., 2005)

\* In preparation or under review

### **DNA** Sequencing



Genome of an organism encodes the genetic information in long sequence of 4 DNA nucleotides: ACGT

- Bacteria: ~3 million bp
- Humans: ~3 billion bp



Current DNA sequencing machines can generate I-2 Gbp of sequence per day, in millions of short reads

- Per-base error rate estimated at 1-2% (Simpson et al, 2009)
- Sequences originate from random positions of the genome



Recent studies of entire human genomes analyzed 3.3B (Wang, et al., 2008) & 4.0B (Bentley, et al., 2008) 36bp reads

~100 GB of compressed sequence data

### The Evolution of DNA Sequencing

| Year | Genome           | Technology        | Cost          |
|------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 2001 | Venter et al.    | Sanger (ABI)      | \$300,000,000 |
| 2007 | Levy et al.      | Sanger (ABI)      | \$10,000,000  |
| 2008 | Wheeler et al.   | Roche (454)       | \$2,000,000   |
| 2008 | Ley et al.       | Illumina          | \$1,000,000   |
| 2008 | Bentley et al.   | Illumina          | \$250,000     |
| 2009 | Pushkarev et al. | Helicos           | \$48,000      |
| 2009 | Drmanac et al.   | Complete Genomics | \$4,400       |

(Pushkarev et al., 2009)



Critical Computational Challenges: Alignment and Assembly of Huge Datasets

### Hadoop MapReduce

- MapReduce is the parallel distributed framework invented by Google for large data computations.
  - Data and computations are spread over thousands of computers, processing petabytes of data each day (Dean and Ghemawat, 2004)
  - Indexing the Internet, PageRank, Machine Learning, etc...
  - Hadoop is the leading open source implementation
- Benefits
  - Scalable, Efficient, Reliable
  - Easy to Program
  - Runs on commodity computers
- Challenges
  - Redesigning / Retooling applications
    - Not Condor, Not MPI
    - Everything in MapReduce





### K-mer Counting

- Application developers focus on 2 (+1 internal) functions
  - Map: input → key:value pairs
  - Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key

Map, Shuffle & Reduce All Run in Parallel

– Reduce: key, value-lists → output



### Hadoop Architecture



- Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS)
  - Data files partitioned into large chunks (64MB), replicated on multiple nodes
  - NameNode stores metadata information (block locations, directory structure)
- Master node (JobTracker) schedules and monitors work on slaves
  - Computation moves to the data, rack-aware scheduling
- Hadoop MapReduce system won the 2009 GreySort Challenge
  - Sorted 100 TB in 173 min (578 GB/min) using 3452 nodes and 4x3452 disks



• Given a reference and many subject reads, report one or more "good" end-toend alignments per alignable read

Methyl-Seq

Hi-C-Seq

- Find where the read most likely originated
- Fundamental computation for many assays
  - Genotyping
     RNA-Seq
  - Structural Variations
     Chip-Seq
- Desperate need for scalable solutions
  - Single human requires >1,000 CPU hours / genome





http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

- Align billions of reads and find SNPs
  - Reuse software components: Hadoop Streaming
- Map: Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009)
  - Find best alignment for each read
  - Emit (chromosome region, alignment)
- Shuffle: Hadoop
  - Group and sort alignments by region
- Reduce: SOAPsnp (Li et al., 2009)
  - Scan alignments for divergent columns
  - Accounts for sequencing error, known SNPs



### Performance in Amazon EC2

http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

|                 | Asian Individual Genome |           |         |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Data Loading    | 3.3 B reads             | 106.5 GB  | \$10.65 |  |  |
| Data Transfer   | lh :15m                 | 40 cores  | \$3.40  |  |  |
|                 |                         |           |         |  |  |
| Setup           | 0h : I 5m               | 320 cores | \$13.94 |  |  |
| Alignment       | lh:30m                  | 320 cores | \$41.82 |  |  |
| Variant Calling | Ih:00m                  | 320 cores | \$27.88 |  |  |
|                 |                         |           |         |  |  |
| End-to-end      | 4h : 00m                |           | \$97.69 |  |  |

Analyze an entire human genome for ~\$100 in an afternoon. Accuracy validated at >99%

#### Searching for SNPs with Cloud Computing.

Langmead B, Schatz MC, Lin J, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Genome Biology.

### **Related Approaches**





### Short Read Assembly



- Genome assembly as finding an Eulerian tour of the de Bruijn graph
  - Human genome: >3B nodes, >10B edges
- The new short read assemblers require tremendous computation
  - Velvet (Zerbino & Birney, 2008) serial: > 2TB of RAM
  - ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009) MPI: 168 cores x ~96 hours
  - SOAPdenovo (Li et al., 2010) pthreads: 40 cores x 40 hours, >140 GB RAM

### K-mer Counting

- Application developers focus on 2 (+1 internal) functions
  - Map: input → key:value pairs
  - Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key

Map, Shuffle & Reduce All Run in Parallel

– Reduce: key, value-lists → output



#### Graph Construction

- Application developers focus on 2 (+1 internal) functions
  - Map: input → key:value pairs
  - Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key

Map, Shuffle & Reduce All Run in Parallel

– Reduce: key, value-lists → output



#### Graph Compression

- After construction, many edges are unambiguous
  - Merge together compressible nodes
  - Graph physically distributed over hundreds of computers





#### **Distributed Graph Processing**



MapReduce Message Passing

| Input:  | A: (N E:B W:42)   |
|---|---|
| – Graph stored as node tuples   | B: (N E:I,J,K W:33)                                       |
| Map<br>– For all nodes, re-emit node tuple<br>– For all neighbors, emit value tuple | A: (N E:B W:42)<br>B: (V A 42)<br>B: (N E:I,J,K W:33)<br> |
| Shuffle   | B: (N E:I,J,K W:33)                                       |
| – Collect tuples with same key  | B: (V A 42)   |
| Reduce <ul> <li>Add together values, save updated node tuple</li> </ul>             | B: (N E:I,J,K W:75)                                       |

#### **Iterative Path Compression**

Iteratively identify and collapse the beginning of each chain



Map:

 Emit messages to the neighbors of the head of each chain

#### Reduce:

- Update links, node label

Requires S MapReduce cycles, where S is the length of the longest simple path

- B. anthracis: L=5.2Mbp S=268,925
- *H. sapiens* chr 22: L=49.6Mbp S=33,832
- *H. sapiens* chr I: L=247.2Mbp S=37,172

#### Fast Path Compression

#### Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

#### Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H)/T to each compressible node
- Compress (Ĥ→T) links

#### Performance

- Compress all chains in log(S) rounds (<20)
- If <1024 nodes to compress (from any number of chains), assign them all to the same reducer (save 10 rounds)

#### Randomized Speed-ups in Parallel Computation.

Vishkin U. (1984) ACM Symposium on Theory of Computation. 230-239.





Node Types











Isolated nodes (10%)

Contamination

#### Tips (46%)

- Clip short tips

#### Bubbles/Non-branch (9%)

Pop bubbles

Dead Ends (.2%)

Split forks

#### Half Branch (25%)

– Unzip

#### Full Branch (10%)

- Thread reads, cloud surfing

(Chaisson, 2009)

Scalable Genome Assembly with MapReduce

• Genome: E. coli 4.6Mbp bacteria

Initial

- Input: 20M 36bp reads, 200bp insert
- Preprocessor: Quality-Aware Error Correction

Compressed



Contrail

http://contrail-bio.sourceforge.net

Error Correction

**Resolve Repeats** 

#### Assembly of Large Genomes with Cloud Computing.

Schatz MC, Sommer D, Kelley D, Pop M, et al. In Preparation.



Cloud Surfing

### Selected Related Work



#### AutoEditor & AutoJoiner

Improving Genome Assemblies without Resequencing

> (Gajer, Schatz, Salzberg, 2004) (Carlton *et al.*, 2007)

#### **PhyloTrac**

Integrated survey analysis of prokaryotic communities



(Schatz, Phillippy, et al., 2010\*)

# AMOS Hawkeye

#### Hawkeye

Assembly Visualization & Analytics

(Schatz, Phillippy, Shneiderman, Salzberg, 2007)

#### Graph Summarization

Revealing Biological Modules via Graph Summarization.



(Navlakha, Schatz, Kingsford, 2008)

# Finding

#### **Assembly Forensics**

Finding the Elusive Mis-assembly

(Phillippy, Schatz, Pop, 2008)

#### Transgenic Hunt

Characterization of Insertion Sites in Rainbow Papaya



(Suzuki et al., 2008)

### **Research Directions**

- Scalable Sequencing
  - Genomes, Metagenomes, \*-Seq, Personalized Medicine
  - How do we survive the tsunami of sequence data?
    - $\,\circ\,$  Efficient indexing & algorithms, multi-core & multi-disk systems
- Practically Parallel
  - Managing n-tier memory hierarchies, crossing the PRAM chasm
  - How do we solve problems with 1000s of cores?
    - Locality, Fault Tolerance, Programming Languages & Parallel Systems
- Computational Discovery
  - Abundant data and computation are necessary, but not sufficient
  - How do we gain insight?
    - Modeling, Machine Learning, Databases, Visualization & HCI



#### Summary

"NextGen sequencing has completely outrun the ability of good bioinformatics people to keep up with the data and use it well... We need a MASSIVE effort in the development of tools for 'normal' biologists to make better use of massive sequence databases."

Jonathan Eisen – JGI Users Meeting – 3/28/09

- Computational Biology
  - Make the problems of genotyping and assembly of large genomes from short reads feasible and accessible to individual researchers
- High Performance Computing
  - Developed Novel Parallel Algorithms for MapReduce and Multicore systems

#### Acknowledgements

#### Advisor

Steven Salzberg

#### **UMD** Faculty

Mihai Pop, Art Delcher, Amitabh Varshney, Carl Kingsford, Ben Shneiderman, James Yorke, Jimmy Lin, Dan Sommer

#### **CBCB** Students

Adam Phillippy, Cole Trapnell, Saket Navlakha, Ben Langmead, James White, David Kelley



## Thank You!

http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/~mschatz

### Genome Coverage

Idealized assembly

- Uniform probability of a read starting at a given position
  - p = G/N
- Poisson distribution in coverage along genome
  - Contigs end when there is no overlapping read
- Contig length is a function of coverage and read length
  - Short reads require much higher coverage





#### Large-Scale Genome Assembly from Short Reads. Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (2010) *Manuscript Under Review*.

### **Two Paradigms for Assembly**



#### Large-Scale Genome Assembly from Short Reads.

Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (2010) Manuscript Under Review.

### Short Reads and Mate-pairs



- Explore the relationship between read length and contig N50 size
  - Perfect reads, lengths: 25, 35, 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000
  - Long reads are limiting case for short mated reads, perfectly compute the insert sequence

#### **Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads.** Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (2010) *BMC Bioinformatics*.

### **ABySS Results**

- Assemble 42x 36bp reads
- Mate pairs double the size of the contigs
  - Insert size 210bp

| Contig Statistics | k = 27, Without Pai | ired-End Information | k = 27, With Paired-End Information |                  |  |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| U                 | Contigs ≥100bp      | Contigs ≥1,000bp     | Contigs $\geq 100$ bp               | Contigs ≥1,000bp |  |
| # Contigs         | 4,348,132           | 549,522              | 2,762,173                           | 680,203          |  |
| Median size (bp)  | 253                 | 1,463                | 435                                 | 1,696            |  |
| Mean size (bp)    | 484                 | 1,703                | 791                                 | 2,093            |  |
| Max. size (bp)    | 15,911              | 15,911               | 18,800                              | 18,800           |  |
| N50 size          | 870                 | 1,731                | 1,499                               | 2,282            |  |
| # Contigs > N50   | 674,953             | 188,171              | 408,890                             | 202,166          |  |
| Sum (Gbp)         | 2.10                | 0.94                 | 2.18                                | 1.42             |  |

- Identify 100k insertions and deletions
  - Pronounced deletion peak corresponds to Alu family of retrotransposons



### Bidirectional de Bruijn Graph

- Designate a representative mer for each mer/rc(mer) pair
  - Use the lexigraphically smaller mer
- Bidirected edges record if connection is between forward or reverse mer
- In practice, keep separate adjacency lists for the forward and reverse mers

AAGG [CCTT]: AAG<sup>+</sup> -> AGG<sup>+</sup> ACTT [AAGA]: ACT<sup>+</sup> -> AAG<sup>-</sup> GCTT [AAGC]: AGC<sup>-</sup> -> AAG<sup>-</sup> AAG<sup>+</sup> -> AGC<sup>+</sup>



(Medvedev et al, 2007)

### Find Compressible Nodes

Input: Graph stored as (n : (nodeinfo, ni))

Map:

- For all nodes, emit (n : (nodeinfo, ni))
- If node n has unique predecessor p, emit (p : (unique-pred, n))

#### Reduce:

- If node n has unique successor s, and received (unique-pred, s),
  - Mark ni as compressible



#### **Error Correction**

Sequencing error distorts graph structure

- Errors at end of read
  - Trim off 'dead-end' tips
  - B' passes trim message to A



- Errors in middle of read
  - Pop Bubbles
  - B' and B pass *bubble* messages to A
    - A is lexicographically smaller than C



- Recursively apply, rerun path compression between each iteration

Parallel Network Motif Finding

### **Repeat Analysis**

- X-cut
  - Annotate edges with spanning reads
  - Separate fully spanned nodes
    - (Pevzner *et al.*, 2001)





- If mate pairs are available search for a path consistent with mate distance
- Use message passing to iteratively collect linked and neighboring nodes



• Other simplifications possible

### MUMmerGPU

http://mummergpu.sourceforge.net

- Index reference using a suffix tree
  - Each suffix represented by path from root
  - Reorder tree along space filling curve
- Map many reads simultaneously on GPU
  - Find matches by walking the tree
  - Find coordinates with depth first search
- Performance on nVidia GTX 8800
  - Match kernel was ~10x faster than CPU
  - Search kernel was ~4x faster than CPU
  - End-to-end runtime ~4x faster than CPU



**Optimizing data intensive GPGPU computations for DNA sequence alignment.** Trapnell C, Schatz MC. (2009) *Parallel Computing*. 35(8-9):429-440.

### Amazon Elastic MapReduce

|   |  |   |   | AWS M                    | anagement Console  |  |   |   | $\subset$                          |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
|   | https://console.aws.am   | zon.com/elasticmapreduce/ho   | ome   |                          |  |  |   | 😭 🔻 ) ° 💽 🕻 Google  | <u>م</u>                           |
| 📕 🏮 AWS Management Con.   | . 8  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   | च् (                               |
| Home > Your Account > AWS   | Management Console BETA  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   | Welcome, Michael Schatz   Sign Out |
| Overview Amazon EC2   | Amazon Elastic<br>MapReduce  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   |                                    |
| Your Elastic MapReduce  | Job Flows  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   |                                    |
| 🚱 Create New Job Flow   |  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   | 🎲 Show/Hide 🛛 🗞 Refresh 🎯 Help     |
| Viewing: All  |  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   | ≪ ≪ 1 to 1 of 1 Job Flows > >      |
| Name  | State  | Creation Date   | Elapsed Time  | Normalize                | d Instance Hours   |  |   |   |                                    |
| CloudBurst  |  | 2009-04-08 16:39 EDT  | 0 hours 58 minutes  | 1                        |  |  |   |   |                                    |
|   |  |   |   |                          |  |  |   |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TEP MINATED   |   |   |                          | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:  | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-  | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected<br>Id:<br>Name:<br>State:<br>Last State Change Be   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED  | enuet   |   |                          | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:   | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-  | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected<br>Id:<br>Name:<br>State:<br>Last State Change Re<br>Availability Zone:   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>Ison: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b  | equest  |   |                          | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:  | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-  | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected<br>I Job Flow selected<br>Id:<br>Name:<br>State:<br>Last State Change Re<br>Availability Zone:<br>Master Type:  | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small  | equest  |   |                          | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:   | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr   | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected<br>I Job Flow selected<br>Id:<br>Name:<br>State:<br>Last State Change Re<br>Availability Zone:<br>Master Type:<br>Key Name:   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small<br>-   | equest  |   |                          | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:<br>Log URI:   | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr   | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected<br>Job Flow selected<br>Id:<br>Name:<br>State:<br>Last State Change Re<br>Availability Zone:<br>Master Type:<br>Key Name:<br>Master Public DNS Na<br>Steps:   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small<br>-<br>me: ec2-75-101-176-19:   | equest<br>.compute-1.amazonaws.com  |   |                          | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:<br>Log URI:   | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr<br>-   | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected         Id:         Name:         State:         Last State Change Re         Availability Zone:         Master Type:         Key Name:         Master Public DNS Na         Steps:         State         State         State   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small<br>-<br>me: ec2-75-101-176-19.<br>Start Date   | equest<br>.compute-1.amazonaws.com<br>dte Jar   |   | Main<br>Class            | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:<br>Log URI:<br>Args   | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr<br>-   | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall  |   |                                    |
| 1 Job Flow selected         Id:         Name:         State:         Last State Change Re         Availability Zone:         Master Type:         Key Name:         Master Public DNS Na         Steps:         Step         Name         Custom         Jar  | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small<br>-<br>me: ec2-75-101-176-19:<br>Start Date En<br>D 2009-04-08<br>16:43 EDT -                               | equest<br>.compute-1.amazonaws.com<br>dte Jar<br>s3n://elasticmapred<br>/cloudburst/cloudbur                                  | uce/samples<br>st.jar   | Main<br>Class            | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:<br>Log URI:<br>Args<br>s3n://elasticmapreduce/sai<br>s3n://mschatz/cloudburst/  | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr<br>-<br>mples/cloudbi<br>putput/2009-4                 | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall<br>urst/input/s_suis.l                       | br s3n://elasticmapreduce/san<br>48 24 24 128 16                      | nples/cloudburst/input/100k.br     |
| 1 Job Flow selected   | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small<br>-<br>me: ec2-75-101-176-19<br><b>Start Date</b><br>D 2009-04-08<br>16:43 EDT -                            | equest .compute-1.amazonaws.com d Jar s3n://elasticmapred /cloudburst/cloudbur  | uce/samples<br>st.jar   | Main<br>Class            | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:<br>Log URI:<br>Args<br>s3n://elasticmapreduce/sai<br>s3n://mschatz/cloudburst/o | 2009-<br>2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr<br>-<br>mples/cloudbi<br>putput/2009-4                 | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall<br>urst/input/s_suis.l<br>4-8 36 3 0 1 240 - | br s3n://elasticmapreduce/san<br>48 24 24 128 16<br>mazon.com.company | nples/cloudburst/input/100k.br     |
| 1 Job Flow selected  1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job Flow selected 1 Job | j-2QFVZC6UJK3MU<br>CloudBurst<br>TERMINATED<br>ason: Terminated by user<br>us-east-1b<br>m1.small<br>-<br>me: ec2-75-101-176-19<br>Start Date ED<br>D 2009-04-08<br>16:43 EDT -<br>0 2009-04-08<br>16:43 EDT - | equest .compute-1.amazonaws.com d te 3ar s3n://elasticmapred /cloudburst/cloudbur 108, Amazon Web Services LLC c log lec4.pdf | uce/samples<br>st.jar<br>r its affiliates. All right re<br>ure6.pdf | Main<br>Class<br>served. | Creation Date:<br>Start Date:<br>End Date:<br>Instance Count:<br>Slave Type:<br>Log URI:<br>Args<br>s3n://elasticmapreduce/sat<br>s3n://mschatz/cloudburst/o | 2009-<br>2009-<br>1<br>m1.sr<br>-<br>mples/cloudbi<br>putput/2009-4<br>Policy Terr<br>12.pdf | -04-08 16:39 EDT<br>-04-08 16:43 EDT<br>-04-08 17:41 EDT<br>mall<br>urst/input/s_suis.l<br>4-8 36 3 0 1 240 - | br s3n://elasticmapreduce/san<br>48 24 24 128 16<br>mazon.com.company | nples/cloudburst/input/100k.br     |

### EC2 Pricing

#### Pricing

Amazon Elastic MapReduce currently is available in the US region only. Pay only for what you use – there is no minimum fee. Amazon Elastic MapReduce pricing is in addition to normal Amazon EC2 and Amazon S3 pricing.

| Standard Amazon EC2 Instances | Amazon EC2<br>Price per hour<br>(On-Demand Instances) | Amazon Elastic<br>MapReduce<br>Price per hour |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Small (Default)               | \$0.10 per hour                                       | \$0.015 per hour                              |
| Large                         | \$0.40 per hour                                       | \$0.06 per hour                               |
| Extra Large                   | \$0.80 per hour                                       | \$0.12 per hour                               |
| High CPU Instances            | Amazon EC2<br>Price per hour<br>(On-Demand Instances) | Amazon Elastic<br>MapReduce<br>Price per hour |
| Medium                        | \$0.20 per hour                                       | \$0.03 per hour                               |
| Extra Large                   | \$0.80 per hour                                       | \$0.12 per hour                               |

Amazon EC2 and Amazon S3 charges are billed separately. Pricing for Amazon Elastic MapReduce is per instance-hour consumed for each instance type, from the time job flow began processing until it is terminated. Each partial instance-hour consumed will be billed as a full hour. For additional details on Amazon EC2 Instance Types, Amazon EC2 Reserved Instances Pricing, or Amazon S3 Pricing, follow the links below:

Amazon EC2 Instance Types

Amazon EC2 Reserved Instances Pricing

Amazon S3 Pricing



http://cloudburst-bio.sourceforge.net



- Leverage Hadoop to build a distributed inverted index of k-mers and find end-to-end alignments
- 100x speedup over RMAP with 96 cores at Amazon EC2



#### CloudBurst: Highly Sensitive Read Mapping with MapReduce.

Schatz MC (2009) Bioinformatics. 25:1363-1369

#### CloudBurst: Highly Sensitive Read Mapping with MapReduce

- I. Map: Catalog K-mers
  - Emit every k-mer in the genome and non-overlapping k-mers in the reads
  - Non-overlapping k-mers sufficient to guarantee an alignment will be found
- 2. Shuffle: Coalesce Seeds
  - Hadoop internal shuffle groups together k-mers shared by the reads and the reference
  - Conceptually build a hash table of k-mers and their occurrences
- 3. Reduce: End-to-end alignment
  - Locally extend alignment beyond seeds by counting mismatches, or with Landau-Vishkin k-difference algorithm to allow for indels.
  - If read aligns end-to-end, record the alignment

![](_page_44_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **CloudBurst Results on Local CBCB Cluster**

- Evaluation of CloudBurst running time while scaling the number of reads and the number of allowed mismatches while mapping to human chromosomes I (top) and 22 (bottom) on the local cluster with 24 cores.
- Colored lines indicate timings allowing 0 (fastest) through 4 (slowest) mismatches between a read and the reference.
- As the number of reads increases, the running time increases linearly.
- As the number of allowed mismatches increases, the running time increases super-linearly from the exponential increase in seed instances.

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Comparison to RMAP

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

- CloudBurst running time compared to RMAP for mapping 7M reads, showing the speedup of CloudBurst running on 24 cores compared to RMAP running on 1 core.
- As the number of allowed mismatches increases, the relative overhead decreases allowing CloudBurst to meet and exceed 24x linear speedup.
- Produces identical results in a fraction of the time, especially for highly sensitive alignments.

#### Amazon EC2 Evaluation

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

- CloudBurst running times for mapping 7M reads to human chromosome 22 with at most 4 mismatches on the local and EC 2 clusters.
- The 24-core Amazon High-CPU Medium Instance EC2 cluster is faster than the 24-core Small Instance EC2 cluster, and the 24-core local dedicated cluster.
- As the number of cores increase, the running time decreases with near linear speedup. The 96-core cluster is 3.5x faster than the 24-core, and 100x faster than a serial run of RMAP.

### **Burrows-Wheeler Transform**

Reversible permutation of the characters in a text

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

• BWT(T) is the index for T

implicitly encodes Suffix Array

A block sorting lossless data compression algorithm. Burrows M, Wheeler DJ (1994) Digital Equipment Corporation. Technical Report 124

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

BWT(Reference)

Query: AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

BWT(Reference)

Query: AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Query: AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)