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## Shredded Book Reconstruction

- Dickens accidentally shreds the first printing of A Tale of Two Cities
- Text printed on 5 long spools



It was thevaldbetse besinoesinites,

|  |  | it was the age | visdodonnit, whasath theogagef flolisfooliessisness, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



- How can he reconstruct the text?
- 5 copies $\times 138,656$ words $/ 5$ words per fragment $=138 \mathrm{k}$ fragments
- The short fragments from every copy are mixed together
- Some fragments are identical

It was the best of
age of wisdom, it was

## Greedy Reconstruction

best of times, it was

```
it was the age of
```

it was the age of
it was the worst of
of times, it was the
of times, it was the
of wisdom, it was the
the age of wisdom, it
the best of times, it
the worst of times, it
times, it was the age
times, it was the worst
was the age of wisdom,
was the age of foolishness,
was the best of times,
was the worst of times,
wisdom, it was the age
worst of times, it was

```
It was the best of
    was the best of times,
the best of times, it
best of times, it was
of times, it was the
of times, it was the
                    times, it was the worst
                    times, it was the age
```

The repeated sequence make the correct reconstruction ambiguous

- It was the best of times, it was the [worst/age]

Model sequence reconstruction as a graph problem.

## de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- $\mathrm{V}=$ All length- k subfragments ( $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{I}$ )
- $\mathrm{E}=$ Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
- Nodes overlap by k-I words

Original Fragment

It was the best of

Directed Edge

- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
- Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed
de Bruijn, 1946
Idury and Waterman, 1995
Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001


## It was the best

## de Bruijn Graph Assembly



## Counting Eulerian Tours



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ARBRCRD } \\
& \text { or } \\
& \text { ARCRBRD }
\end{aligned}
$$

Generally an exponential number of compatible sequences

- Value computed by application of the BEST theorem (Hutchinson, 1975)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{W}(G, t)=(\operatorname{det} L)\left\{\prod_{u \in V}\left(r_{u}-1\right)!\right\}\left\{\prod_{(u, v) \in E} a_{u v}!\right\}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=n \times n \text { matrix with } r_{u}-a_{u u} \text { along the diagonal and }-a_{u v} \text { in entry uv } \\
& r_{u}=d^{+}(u)+l \text { if } u=t, \text { or } d^{+}(u) \text { otherwise } \\
& a_{u v}=\text { multiplicity of edge from } u \text { to } v
\end{aligned}
$$

Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads. Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (20I0) BMC Bioinformatics.

## Genomics



Your genome influences (almost) all aspects of your life

- Anatomy \& Physiology: 10 fingers \& 10 toes, organs, neurons
- Diseases: Sickle Cell Anemia, Down Syndrome, Cancer
- Psychological: Intelligence, Personality, Bad Driving

Your environment also influences your life

- Genome as a recipe, not a blueprint


## Genomics across the Tree of Life



## Selected Genomes

- M. gallopavo (Folkerts et al., 2010*)
- A. dorsata (Ruepell et al., 2010*)
- V. destructor (Cornman et al., 2010*)
- N. ceranae (Cornman et al., 2009)
- B. taurus (Zimin et al., 2009)
- C. papaya (Ming et al., 2008)
- X. oryzae (Salzberg et al., 2008)
- T. vaginalis (Carlton et al., 2007)
- Drosophila (Drosophila 12 genomes consortium, 2007)
- B. malayi (Ghedin et al., 2007)
- A. aegypti (Nene et al., 2007)
- Campylobacter (Fouts et al., 2005)
* In preparation or under review


## DNA Sequencing



Genome of an organism encodes the genetic information in long sequence of 4 DNA nucleotides:ACGT

- Bacteria:~3 million bp
- Humans:~3 billion bp


Current DNA sequencing machines can generate I-2 Gbp of sequence per day, in millions of short reads

- Per-base error rate estimated at I-2\% (Simpson et al, 2009)
- Sequences originate from random positions of the genome

ATCTGATAAGTCCCAGGACTTCAGT
GCAAGGCAAACCCGAGCCCAGTTT
TCCAGTTCTAGAGTTTCACATGATC
GGAGTTAGTAAAAGTCCACATTGAG

Recent studies of entire human genomes analyzed 3.3B (Wang, et al., 2008) \& 4.0B (Bentley, et al., 2008) 36bp reads

- ~100 GB of compressed sequence data


## The Evolution of DNA Sequencing

| Year | Genome | Technology | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2001 | Venter et al. | Sanger (ABI) | $\$ 300,000,000$ |
| 2007 | Levy et al. | Sanger (ABI) | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| 2008 | Wheeler et al. | Roche (454) | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| 2008 | Ley et al. | Illumina | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| 2008 | Bentley et al. | Illumina | $\$ 250,000$ |
| 2009 | Pushkarev et al. | Helicos | $\$ 48,000$ |
| 2009 | Drmanac et al. | Complete Genomics | $\$ 4,400$ |

(Pushkarev et al., 2009)


Critical Computational Challenges: Alignment and Assembly of Huge Datasets

## Hadoop MapReduce

- MapReduce is the parallel distributed framework invented by Google for large data computations.
- Data and computations are spread over thousands of computers, processing petabytes of data each day (Dean and Ghemawat, 2004)
- Indexing the Internet, PageRank, Machine Learning, etc...
- Hadoop is the leading open source implementation
- Benefits
- Scalable, Efficient, Reliable
- Easy to Program
- Runs on commodity computers
- Challenges
- Redesigning / Retooling applications
- Not Condor, Not MPI
- Everything in MapReduce



## K-mer Counting

- Application developers focus on $2(+\mid$ internal) functions
- Map: input $\rightarrow$ key:value pairs
- Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key

Map, Shuffle \& Reduce All Run in Parallel

- Reduce: key, value-lists $\rightarrow$ output



## Hadoop Architecture



- Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS)
- Data files partitioned into large chunks (64MB), replicated on multiple nodes
- NameNode stores metadata information (block locations, directory structure)
- Master node (JobTracker) schedules and monitors work on slaves
- Computation moves to the data, rack-aware scheduling
- Hadoop MapReduce system won the 2009 GreySort Challenge
- Sorted I00 TB in $173 \mathrm{~min}(578 \mathrm{~GB} / \mathrm{min}$ ) using 3452 nodes and $4 \times 3452$ disks


## Short Read Mapping



- Given a reference and many subject reads, report one or more "good" end-toend alignments per alignable read
- Find where the read most likely originated
- Fundamental computation for many assays
- Genotyping
- Structural Variations

RNA-Seq
Methyl-Seq
Chip-Seq

- Desperate need for scalable solutions
- Single human requires >I,000 CPU hours / genome


## Crossbow

http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

- Align billions of reads and find SNPs
- Reuse software components: Hadoop Streaming
- Map: Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009)
- Find best alignment for each read
- Emit (chromosome region, alignment)
- Shuffle: Hadoop
- Group and sort alignments by region
- Reduce: SOAPsnp (Li et al., 2009)
- Scan alignments for divergent columns
- Accounts for sequencing error, known SNPs



## Performance in Amazon EC2

http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

|  | Asian Individual Genome |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Data Loading | 3.3 B reads | I06.5 GB | $\$ 10.65$ |
| Data Transfer | $\mathrm{Ih}: 15 \mathrm{~m}$ | 40 cores | $\$ 3.40$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Setup | $0 \mathrm{~h}: 15 \mathrm{~m}$ | 320 cores | $\$ 13.94$ |
| Alignment | $\mathrm{Ih}: 30 \mathrm{~m}$ | 320 cores | $\$ 4 \mathrm{I} .82$ |
| Variant Calling | $\mathrm{Ih}: 00 \mathrm{~m}$ | 320 cores | $\$ 27.88$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| End-to-end | $4 \mathrm{~h}: 00 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | $\$ 97.69$ |

Analyze an entire human genome for $\sim \$ 100$ in an afternoon.
Accuracy validated at $>99 \%$

Searching for SNPs with Cloud Computing.
Langmead B, Schatz MC, Lin J, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Genome Biology.

## Related Approaches

## CloudBurst

Highly Sensitive Short Read Mapping with MapReduce


100x speedup on 96 cores @ Amazon

## MUMmerGPU

High Throughput Sequence Alignment using GPGPUs

~10x speedup on nVidia GTX 8800
(Schatz, Trapnell, et al., 2007) (Trapnell \& Schatz, 2008)

## Short Read Assembly



- Genome assembly as finding an Eulerian tour of the de Bruijn graph
- Human genome: >3B nodes, > IOB edges
- The new short read assemblers require tremendous computation
- Velvet (Zerbino \& Birney, 2008) serial: > 2TB of RAM
- ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009) MPI: 168 cores x ~96 hours
- SOAPdenovo (Li et al., 20I0) pthreads: 40 cores $\times 40$ hours, > 140 GB RAM


## K-mer Counting

- Application developers focus on $2(+\mid$ internal) functions
- Map: input $\rightarrow$ key:value pairs
- Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key

Map, Shuffle \& Reduce All Run in Parallel

- Reduce: key, value-lists $\rightarrow$ output



## Graph Construction

- Application developers focus on $2(+1$ internal) functions
- Map: input $\rightarrow$ key:value pairs
- Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key
- Reduce: key, value-lists $\rightarrow$ output



## Graph Compression

- After construction, many edges are unambiguous
- Merge together compressible nodes
- Graph physically distributed over hundreds of computers



## Distributed Graph Processing



## MapReduce Message Passing

## Input:

- Graph stored as node tuples

A: ( $N$ E:B W:42)
B: ( $N$ E:I, J, K W: 33)

## Map

- For all nodes, re-emit node tuple
- For all neighbors, emit value tuple

A: ( $N$ E:B W:42)
B: ( $V$ A 42)
B: ( $N \mathrm{E}: \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{W}: 33$ )

Shuffle

- Collect tuples with same key

B: ( $N \mathrm{E}: \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{W}: 33$ )
B: ( $V$ A 42)

Reduce

- Add together values, save updated node tuple


## Iterative Path Compression

Iteratively identify and collapse the beginning of each chain

Map:

- Emit messages to the neighbors of the head of each chain

Reduce:

- Update links, node label


Requires $S$ MapReduce cycles, where $S$ is the length of the longest simple path

- B. anthracis: $\quad \mathrm{L}=5.2 \mathrm{Mbp} \quad \mathrm{S}=268,925$
- H. sapiens chr 22: $L=49.6 \mathrm{Mbp} \quad S=33,832$
- H. sapiens chr I: $L=247.2 \mathrm{Mbp} \quad \mathrm{S}=37, \mathrm{I} 72$


## Fast Path Compression

## Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors


## Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H)/T to each compressible node
- Compress $(H) \rightarrow T$ links


## Performance



- Compress all chains in $\log (\mathrm{S})$ rounds (<20)
- If <1024 nodes to compress (from any number of chains), assign them all to the same reducer (save 10 rounds)

Randomized Speed-ups in Parallel Computation.
Vishkin U. (I984) ACM Symposium on Theory of Computation. 230-239.

## Node Types



Isolated nodes (10\%)

- Contamination

Tips (46\%)

- Clip short tips

Bubbles/Non-branch (9\%)

- Pop bubbles

Dead Ends (.2\%)

- Split forks

Half Branch (25\%)

- Unzip

Full Branch ( $10 \%$ )

- Thread reads, cloud surfing


## Contrail

http://contrail-bio.sourceforge.net


## Scalable Genome Assembly with MapReduce

- Genome: E. coli 4.6Mbp bacteria
- Input: 20M 36bp reads, 200bp insert
- Preprocessor: Quality-Aware Error Correction

Initial


N Max N50

Compressed


245,131
1,079 bp 156 bp

Error Correction


2,769
$70,725 \mathrm{bp}$
$15,023 \mathrm{bp}$

Resolve Repeats


1,909
90,088 bp
17,058 bp

Cloud Surfing


300
149,006 bp 54,807 bp

Assembly of Large Genomes with Cloud Computing. Schatz MC, Sommer D, Kelley D, Pop M, et al. In Preparation.

## Selected Related Work



AutoEditor \& AutoJoiner
Improving Genome Assemblies without Resequencing
(Gajer, Schatz, Salzberg, 2004)
(Carlton et al., 2007)


Assembly Forensics
Finding the Elusive
Mis-assembly
(Phillippy, Schatz, Pop, 2008)

## PhyloTrac

Integrated survey analysis of prokaryotic communities
(Schatz, Phillippy, et al., 2010*)


## Graph Summarization

Revealing Biological Modules via Graph Summarization.
(Navlakha, Schatz, Kingsford, 2008)


Transgenic Hunt
Characterization of Insertion Sites in Rainbow Papaya
(Suzuki et al., 2008)


## Research Directions

- Scalable Sequencing
- Genomes, Metagenomes, *-Seq, Personalized Medicine
- How do we survive the tsunami of sequence data?
- Efficient indexing \& algorithms, multi-core \& multi-disk systems
- Practically Parallel
- Managing n-tier memory hierarchies, crossing the PRAM chasm
- How do we solve problems with 1000s of cores?
- Locality, Fault Tolerance, Programming Languages \& Parallel Systems
- Computational Discovery
- Abundant data and computation are necessary, but not sufficient
- How do we gain insight?
- Modeling, Machine Learning, Databases, Visualization \& HCI



## Summary

"NextGen sequencing has completely outrun the ability of good bioinformatics people to keep up with the data and use it well... We need a MASSIVE effort in the development of tools for 'normal' biologists to make better use of massive sequence databases."

Jonathan Eisen - JGI Users Meeting - 3/28/09

- Computational Biology
- Make the problems of genotyping and assembly of large genomes from short reads feasible and accessible to individual researchers
- High Performance Computing
- Developed Novel Parallel Algorithms for MapReduce and Multicore systems
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## Genome Coverage

Idealized assembly

- Uniform probability of a read starting at a given position
- $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}$
- Poisson distribution in coverage along genome
- Contigs end when there is no overlapping read
- Contig length is a function of coverage and read length
- Short reads require much higher coverage

Lander Waterman Expected Contig Length vs Coverage


Large-Scale Genome Assembly from Short Reads. Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (20I0) Manuscript Under Review.

## Two Paradigms for Assembly



Large-Scale Genome Assembly from Short Reads.
Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (2010) Manuscript Under Review.

## Short Reads and Mate-pairs



- Explore the relationship between read length and contig N50 size
- Perfect reads, lengths: 25, 35, 50, I00, 250, 500, 1000
- Long reads are limiting case for short mated reads, perfectly compute the insert sequence

Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads.
Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (20I0) BMC Bioinformatics.

## ABySS Results

- Assemble 42x 36bp reads
- Mate pairs double the size of the contigs
- Insert size 210bp
- Identify 100k insertions and deletions
- Pronounced deletion peak corresponds to Alu family of retrotransposons

| Table 3. Assembly statistics for data from the NA18507 Yoruba individual |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contig Statistics | $k=27$, Without Paired-End Information |  | $k=27$, With Paired-End Information |  |  |
|  |  | Contigs $\geq 100 \mathrm{bp}$ | Contigs $\geq 1,000 \mathrm{bp}$ |  | Contigs $\geq 100 \mathrm{bp}$ |
|  | Contigs $\geq 1,000 \mathrm{bp}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \# Contigs | $4,348,132$ | 549,522 |  | $2,762,173$ | 680,203 |
| Median size (bp) | 253 | 1,463 |  | 435 | 1,696 |
| Mean size (bp) | 484 | 1,703 |  | 791 | 2,093 |
| Max. size (bp) | 15,911 | 15,911 |  | 18,800 | 18,800 |
| N50 size | 870 | 1,731 |  | 1,499 | 2,282 |
| \# Contigs > N50 | 674,953 | 188,171 |  | 408,890 | 202,166 |
| Sum (Gbp) | 2.10 | 0.94 |  | 2.18 | 1.42 |



## Bidirectional de Bruijn Graph

- Designate a representative mer for each mer/rc(mer) pair
- Use the lexigraphically smaller mer
- Bidirected edges record if connection is between forward or reverse mer
- In practice, keep separate adjacency lists for the forward and reverse mers

AAGG [CCTT]: $\mathrm{AAG}^{+}$-> $\mathrm{AGG}^{+}$
ACTT [AAGA]: ACT ${ }^{+}$-> AAG ${ }^{-}$
GCTT [AAGC]: AGC- -> AAG-
$\mathrm{AAG}^{+}$-> $\mathrm{AGC}^{+}$

(Medvedev et al, 2007)

## Find Compressible Nodes

Input: Graph stored as (n : (nodeinfo, ni))
Map:

- For all nodes, emit (n:(nodeinfo, ni))
- If node n has unique predecessor p , emit ( p : (unique-pred, n ))

Reduce:

- If node $n$ has unique successor s , and received (unique-pred, s ),
- Mark ni as compressible
- Save (n:(nodeinfo, ni))

Compressible


Not Compressible



## Error Correction

Sequencing error distorts graph structure

- Errors at end of read
- Trim off ‘dead-end’ tips
- B' passes trim message to A

- Errors in middle of read
- Pop Bubbles
- $B$ ' and $B$ pass bubble messages to $A$
- A is lexicographically smaller than C

- Recursively apply, rerun path compression between each iteration


## Repeat Analysis

- X-cut
- Annotate edges with spanning reads
- Separate fully spanned nodes
- (Pevzner et al., 200I)

- Scaffolding
- If mate pairs are available search for a path consistent with mate distance
- Use message passing to iteratively collect linked and neighboring nodes

- Other simplifications possible


## MUMmerGPU

http://mummergpu.sourceforge.net

- Index reference using a suffix tree
- Each suffix represented by path from root
- Reorder tree along space filling curve
- Map many reads simultaneously on GPU
- Find matches by walking the tree
- Find coordinates with depth first search
- Performance on nVidia GTX 8800
- Match kernel was $\sim 10 x$ faster than CPU

- Search kernel was $\sim 4 x$ faster than CPU
- End-to-end runtime $\sim 4 x$ faster than CPU

Optimizing data intensive GPGPU computations for DNA sequence alignment. Trapnell C, Schatz MC. (2009) Parallel Computing. 35(8-9):429-440.

## Amazon Elastic MapReduce



## EC2 Pricing

## Pricing

Amazon Elastic MapReduce currently is available in the US region only. Pay only for what you use - there is no minimum fee. Amazon Elastic MapReduce pricing is in addition to normal Amazon EC2 and Amazon S3 pricing.

|  | Amazon EC2 <br> Price per hour <br> (On-Demand Instances) | Amazon Elastic <br> MapReduce <br> Price per hour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Standard Amazon EC2 Instances | $\$ 0.10$ per hour | $\$ 0.015$ per hour |
| Large | $\$ 0.40$ per hour | $\$ 0.06$ per hour |
| Extra Large | $\$ 0.80$ per hour | $\$ 0.12$ per hour |
|  | Amazon EC2 <br> Price per hour <br> (On-Demand Instances) | Amazon Elastic <br> MapReduce <br> Price per hour |
| High CPU Instances | $\$ 0.20$ per hour | $\$ 0.03$ per hour |
| Medium | $\$ 0.80$ per hour | $\$ 0.12$ per hour |
| Extra Large |  |  |

Amazon EC2 and Amazon S3 charges are billed separately. Pricing for Amazon Elastic MapReduce is per instance-hour consumed for each instance type, from the time job flow began processing until it is terminated. Each partial instance-hour consumed will be billed as a full hour. For additional details on Amazon EC2 Instance Types, Amazon EC2 Reserved Instances Pricing, or Amazon S3 Pricing, follow the links below:

Amazon EC2 Instance Types
Amazon EC2 Reserved Instances Pricing
Amazon S3 Pricing

## CloudBurst

http://cloudburst-bio.sourceforge.net

- Leverage Hadoop to build a distributed inverted index of k-mers and find end-to-end alignments
- I00x speedup over RMAP with 96 cores at Amazon EC2


CloudBurst: Highly Sensitive Read Mapping with MapReduce.
Schatz MC (2009) Bioinformatics. 25:I363-I369

## CloudBurst: Highly Sensitive Read Mapping with MapReduce

I. Map: Catalog K-mers

- Emit every k-mer in the genome and non-overlapping k-mers in the reads
- Non-overlapping k-mers sufficient to guarantee an alignment will be found

2. Shuffle: Coalesce Seeds

- Hadoop internal shuffle groups together k-mers shared by the reads and the reference
- Conceptually build a hash table of k-mers and their occurrences

3. Reduce: End-to-end alignment

- Locally extend alignment beyond seeds by counting mismatches, or with Landau-Vishkin k-difference algorithm to allow for indels.
- If read aligns end-to-end, record the alignment
map
Human chromosome 1



## Read 1



Read 2
Read 2
shuffle reduce


## CloudBurst Results on Local CBCB Cluster

- Evaluation of CloudBurst running time while scaling the number of reads and the number of allowed mismatches while mapping to human chromosomes I (top) and 22 (bottom) on the local cluster with 24 cores.
- Colored lines indicate timings allowing 0 (fastest) through 4 (slowest) mismatches between a read and the reference.
- As the number of reads increases, the running time increases linearly.
- As the number of allowed mismatches increases, the running time increases super-linearly from the exponential increase in seed instances.




## Comparison to RMAP



- CloudBurst running time compared to RMAP for mapping 7M reads, showing the speedup of CloudBurst running on 24 cores compared to RMAP running on I core.
- As the number of allowed mismatches increases, the relative overhead decreases allowing CloudBurst to meet and exceed $24 x$ linear speedup.
- Produces identical results in a fraction of the time, especially for highly sensitive alignments.


## Amazon EC2 Evaluation




- CloudBurst running times for mapping 7M reads to human chromosome 22 with at most 4 mismatches on the local and EC 2 clusters.
- The 24-core Amazon High-CPU Medium Instance EC2 cluster is faster than the 24-core Small Instance EC2 cluster, and the 24-core local dedicated cluster.
- As the number of cores increase, the running time decreases with near linear speedup. The 96 -core cluster is $3.5 x$ faster than the 24 -core, and $100 x$ faster than a serial run of RMAP.


## Burrows-Wheeler Transform

- Reversible permutation of the characters in a text

- $\operatorname{BWT}(\mathrm{T})$ is the index for $T$

A block sorting lossless data compression algorithm.
Burrows M,Wheeler DJ (1994) Digital Equipment Corporation. Technical Report I24

## Bowtie algorithm

## Reference

BWT( Reference )

Query:
AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA
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BWT( Reference )

Query:
AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA

## Bowtie algorithm

## Reference

BWT( Reference )

Query:
AATGTTACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA

## Bowtie algorithm

## Reference

BWT( Reference )

Query:
AATGTTACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTA

