Cloud Computing and the DNA Data Race Michael Schatz

April 14, 2011 Data-Intensive Analysis, Analytics, and Informatics





Outline

- I. Genome Assembly by Analogy
- 2. DNA Sequencing and Genomics
- 3. Large Scale Sequence Analysis
 - I. Mapping & Genotyping
 - 2. Genome Assembly

Shredded Book Reconstruction

Dickens accidentally shreds the first printing of <u>A Tale of Two Cities</u>
 – Text printed on 5 long spools



- How can he reconstruct the text?
 - 5 copies x 138, 656 words / 5 words per fragment = 138k fragments
 - The short fragments from every copy are mixed together
 - Some fragments are identical



Greedy Reconstruction



The repeated sequence make the correct reconstruction ambiguous

• It was the best of times, it was the [worst/age]

Model sequence reconstruction as a graph problem.

de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $D_k = (V, E)$
 - V = All length-k subfragments (k < l)
 - E = Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
 - Nodes overlap by k-1 words



- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
 - Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed

de Bruijn, 1946 Idury and Waterman, 1995 Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001



de Bruijn Graph Assembly

de Bruijn Graph Assembly



Dickens & DNA Sequencing



Genome of an organism encodes the genetic information in long sequence of 4 DNA nucleotides: ACGT

- Bacteria: ~3 million bp
- Humans: ~3 billion bp





Current DNA sequencing machines sequence hundreds of millions of short (25-500bp) reads from random positions of the genome

- ~25 GB / day / machine
- Per-base error rate estimated at 1-2% (Simpson et al, 2009)

Like Dickens, we can only sequence small fragments of the genome at once.

- Must substantially oversample each genome
- A single human genome requires ~150 GB of raw data

Sequencing Applications





The DNA Data Tsunami

Current world-wide sequencing capacity exceeds 10Tbp/day (3.6Pbp/year) and is growing at 5x per year!



"Will Computers Crash Genomics?" Elizabeth Pennisi (2011) Science. 331(6018): 666-668.

Hadoop MapReduce

http://hadoop.apache.org

- MapReduce is Google's framework for large data computations
 - Data and computations are spread over thousands of computers
 - Indexing the Internet, PageRank, Machine Learning, etc... (Dean and Ghemawat, 2004)
 - 946 PB processed in May 2010 (Jeff Dean at Stanford, 11.10.2010)
 - Hadoop is the leading open source implementation
 - Developed and used by Yahoo, Facebook, Twitter, Amazon, etc
 - Benefits
 - Scalable, Efficient, Reliable
 - Easy to Program
 - Runs on commodity computers



- Challenges
 - Redesigning / Retooling applications
 - Not Condor, Not MPI
 - Everything in MapReduce



Distributed Graph Processing



MapReduce Message Passing

Input:	A: (N E:B W:42)
– Graph stored as node tuples	B: (N E:I,J,K W:33)
Map – For all nodes, re-emit node tuple – For all neighbors, emit value tuple	A: (N E:B W:42) B: (V A 42) B: (N E:I,J,K W:33)
Shuffle	B: (N E:I,J,K W:33)
– Collect tuples with same key	B: (V A 42)
Reduce Add together values, save updated node tuple 	B: (N E:I,J,K W:75)



• Given a reference and many subject reads, report one or more "good" end-toend alignments per alignable read

Methyl-Seq

Hi-C-Seq

- Find where the read most likely originated
- Fundamental computation for many assays
 - Genotyping
 RNA-Seq
 - Structural Variations
 Chip-Seq
- Desperate need for scalable solutions
 - Single human requires >1,000 CPU hours / genome





http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

- Align billions of reads and find SNPs
 - Reuse software components: Hadoop Streaming
- Map: Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009)
 - Find best alignment for each read
 - Emit (chromosome region, alignment)
- Shuffle: Hadoop
 - Group and sort alignments by region
- Reduce: SOAPsnp (Li et al., 2009)
 - Scan alignments for divergent columns
 - Accounts for sequencing error, known SNPs



Performance in Amazon EC2

http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

	Asian Individual Genome		
Data Loading	3.3 B reads	106.5 GB	\$10.65
Data Transfer	lh :15m	40 cores	\$3.40
Setup	0h : I 5m	320 cores	\$13.94
Alignment	Ih : 30m	320 cores	\$41.82
Variant Calling	I h : 00m	320 cores	\$27.88
End-to-end	4h : 00m		\$97.69

Discovered 3.7M SNPs in one human genome for ~\$100 in an afternoon. Accuracy validated at >99%

Searching for SNPs with Cloud Computing.

Langmead B, Schatz MC, Lin J, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Genome Biology. 10:R134

Map-Shuffle-Scan for Genomics



Schatz, MC, Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2010) Nature Biotechnology. 28:691-693

De novo Assembly



- Genome assembly as finding an Eulerian tour of the de Bruijn graph
 Human genome: >3B nodes, >10B edges
- The new short read assemblers require tremendous computation
 - Velvet (Zerbino & Birney, 2008) serial: > 2TB of RAM
 - ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009) MPI: 168 cores x ~96 hours
 - SOAPdenovo (Li et al., 2010) pthreads: 40 cores x 40 hours, >140 GB RAM

Graph Compression

- After construction, many edges are unambiguous
 - Merge together compressible nodes
 - Graph physically distributed over hundreds of computers





Warmup Exercise

• Who here was born closest to April 14?

- You can only compare to I other person at a time



Find winner among 64 teams in just 6 rounds

Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H) (T) to each compressible node
- Compress (Ĥ)→T links



Initial Graph: 42 nodes

Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H)/ T to each compressible node
- Compress $(H) \rightarrow T$ links



Round 1: 26 nodes (38% savings)

Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H)/ T to each compressible node
- Compress $(H) \rightarrow T$ links



Round 2: 15 nodes (64% savings)

Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H) / T to each compressible node
- Compress $(H) \rightarrow T$ links



Round 2: 8 nodes (81% savings)

Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H) / T to each compressible node
- Compress $(H) \rightarrow T$ links



Round 3: 6 nodes (86% savings)

Challenges

- Nodes stored on different computers
- Nodes can only access direct neighbors

Randomized List Ranking

- Randomly assign (H)/ T to each compressible node
- Compress (Ĥ)→T links

Performance

- Compress all chains in log(S) rounds
- If <1024 nodes to compress (from any number of chains), assign them all to the same reducer (save 10 rounds)



Round 4: 5 nodes (88% savings)



Node Types



(Chaisson, 2009)

Contrail

http://contrail-bio.sourceforge.net

De novo bacterial assembly

- Genome: E. coli K12 MG1655, 4.6Mbp
- Input: 20.8M 36bp reads, 200bp insert (~150x coverage)
- Preprocessor: Quake Error Correction



Assembly of Large Genomes with Cloud Computing.

Schatz MC, Sommer D, Kelley D, Pop M, et al. In Preparation.







De novo assembly of a human genome

- Genome: African male NA18507 (SRA000271, Bentley et al., 2008)
- Input: 3.5B 36bp reads, 210bp insert (~40x coverage)



Assembly of Large Genomes with Cloud Computing.

Schatz MC, Sommer D, Kelley D, Pop M, et al. In Preparation.

Hadoop for NGS Analysis



CloudBurst

Highly Sensitive Short Read Mapping with MapReduce

> 100x speedup mapping on 96 cores @ Amazon

http://cloudburst-bio.sf.net

(Schatz, 2009)

Myrna

Cloud-scale differential gene expression for RNA-seq

Expression of 1.1 billion RNA-Seq reads in ~2 hours for ~\$66



(Langmead, Hansen, Leek, 2010)

http://bowtie-bio.sf.net/myrna/



Quake

Quality-aware error correction of short reads

Correct 97.9% of errors with 99.9% accuracy

http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/software/quake/

(Kelley, Schatz, Salzberg, 2010)

Genome Indexing

Rapid Parallel Construction of Genome Index

Construct the BWT of the human genome in 9 minutes

\$GATTAC<u>A</u> A\$GATTA<u>C</u> ACA\$GAT<u>T</u> ATTACA\$<u>G</u> CA\$GATT<u>A</u> GATTACA<u>£</u> TACA\$GA<u>T</u> TTACA\$G<u>A</u>

(Menon, Bhat, Schatz, 2011*)

http://genome-indexing.googlecode.com



Summary

- Staying afloat in the data deluge means computing in parallel
 - Hadoop + Cloud computing is an attractive platform for large scale sequence analysis and data intensive computation
- Significant obstacles ahead
 - Bandwidth & Storage
 - Diverse applications, complex workflows
 - Rapidly changing data types
 - Time and expertise required for development
- Emerging technologies are a great start, but we need continued research
 - Need integration across disciplines

Acknowledgements

<u>CSHL</u>

Mike Wigler Zach Lippman Dick McCombie Doreen Ware Mitch Bekritsky <u>SBU</u> Steve Skiena Matt Titmus Rohith Menon Goutham Bhat Hayan Lee <u>JHU</u> Ben Langmead Jeff Leek

Univ. of Maryland Steven Salzberg Mihai Pop Art Delcher Jimmy Lin Adam Phillippy David Kelley Dan Sommer

Thank You!

Want to help? http://schatzlab.cshl.edu/apply/

@mike_schatz