High Performance Computing for DNA Sequence Alignment and Assembly Michael C. Schatz

May 18, 2010 Stone Ridge Technology





Outline

- I. Sequence Analysis by Analogy
- 2. DNA Sequencing and Genomics

3. High Performance Sequence Analysis

- I. Read Mapping
- 2. Mapping & Genotyping
- 3. Genome Assembly

Shredded Book Reconstruction

Dickens accidentally shreds the first printing of <u>A Tale of Two Cities</u>
 – Text printed on 5 long spools



- How can he reconstruct the text?
 - 5 copies x 138, 656 words / 5 words per fragment = 138k fragments
 - The short fragments from every copy are mixed together
 - Some fragments are identical



Greedy Reconstruction



The repeated sequence make the correct reconstruction ambiguous

• It was the best of times, it was the [worst/age]

Model sequence reconstruction as a graph problem.

de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $D_k = (V, E)$
 - V = All length-k subfragments (k < l)
 - E = Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
 - Nodes overlap by k-1 words



- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
 - Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed

de Bruijn, 1946 Idury and Waterman, 1995 Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001



de Bruijn Graph Assembly



Shredded Book Mapping

- Dickens searches for misprints in the shredded copies
 - Find the best match for each fragment
 - Has to account for random and systematic variations



Genomics and Evolution



Your genome influences (almost) all aspects of your life

- Anatomy & Physiology: 10 fingers & 10 toes, organs, neurons
- Diseases: Sickle Cell Anemia, Down Syndrome, Cancer
- Psychological: Intelligence, Personality, Bad Driving
- Genome as a recipe, not a blueprint

Like Dickens, we can only sequence small fragments of the genome

DNA Sequencing



Genome of an organism encodes the genetic information in long sequence of 4 DNA nucleotides: ACGT

- Bacteria: ~3 million bp
- Humans: ~3 billion bp



ATCTGATAAGTCCCAGGACTTCAGT

GCAAGGCAAACCCGAGCCCAGTTT

TCCAGTTCTAGAGTTTCACATGATC



Current DNA sequencing machines can generate 1-2 Gbp of sequence per day, in millions of short reads

- Per-base error rate estimated at I-2% (Simpson et al, 2009)
- Sequences originate from random positions of the genome
- Base calling transforms raw images into DNA sequences

Recent studies of entire human genomes analyzed 3.3B (Wang, et al., 2008) & 4.0B (Bentley, et al., 2008) 36bp reads

~100 GB of compressed sequence data

The Evolution of DNA Sequencing

Year	Genome	Technology	Cost
2001	Venter et al.	Sanger (ABI)	\$300,000,000
2007	Levy et al.	Sanger (ABI)	\$10,000,000
2008	Wheeler et al.	Roche (454)	\$2,000,000
2008	Ley et al.	Illumina	\$1,000,000
2008	Bentley et al.	Illumina	\$250,000
2009	Pushkarev et al.	Helicos	\$48,000
2009	Drmanac et al.	Complete Genomics	\$4,400

(Pushkarev et al., 2009)



Critical Computational Challenges: Alignment and Assembly of Huge Datasets

Why HPC?

- Moore's Law is valid in 2010
 - But CPU speed is flat
 - Vendors adopting parallel solutions instead
- Parallel Environments
 - Many cores, including GPUs
 - Many computers
 - Many disks
- Why parallel
 - Need results faster
 - Doesn't fit on one machine





Hadoop MapReduce

- MapReduce is the parallel distributed framework invented by Google for large data computations.
 - Data and computations are spread over thousands of computers, processing petabytes of data each day (Dean and Ghemawat, 2004)
 - Indexing the Internet, PageRank, Machine Learning, etc...
 - Hadoop is the leading open source implementation
- Benefits
 - Scalable, Efficient, Reliable
 - Easy to Program
 - Runs on commodity computers
- Challenges
 - Redesigning / Retooling applications
 - Not Condor, Not MPI
 - Everything in MapReduce





K-mer Counting

- Application developers focus on 2 (+1 internal) functions
 - Map: input → key:value pairs
 - Shuffle: Group together pairs with same key

Map, Shuffle & Reduce All Run in Parallel

– Reduce: key, value-lists → output



Hadoop Architecture



- Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS)
 - Data files partitioned into large chunks (64MB), replicated on multiple nodes
 - NameNode stores metadata information (block locations, directory structure)
- Master node (JobTracker) schedules and monitors work on slaves
 - Computation moves to the data, rack-aware scheduling
- Hadoop MapReduce system won the 2009 GreySort Challenge
 - Sorted 100 TB in 173 min (578 GB/min) using 3452 nodes and 4x3452 disks



• Given a reference and many subject reads, report one or more "good" end-toend alignments per alignable read

Methyl-Seq

Hi-C-Seq

- Find where the read most likely originated
- Fundamental computation for many assays
 - Genotyping
 RNA-Seq
 - Structural Variations
 Chip-Seq
- Desperate need for scalable solutions
 - Single human requires >1,000 CPU hours / genome

Sequence Alignment with Dynamic Programming



A-CACACTA AGCACAC-A

 $D(i,j) = \min \{ D(i-1,j) + 1, \\ D(i,j-1) + 1, \\ D(i-1,j-1) + \delta(S(i),T(j)) \}$

Seed and Extend

- Highly similar alignments must have significant exact seeds
 - Use exact alignments to seed search for longer in-exact alignments
 - Pigeon hole principle: if a read matches someplace with k differences, one of its k+1 chunks must match exactly
- BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990)
 - Catalog fixed length substrings (k-mers) as seeds
 - Use Smith-Waterman dynamic programming algorithm to extend seeds into longer in-exact alignments
 - Arguably the most widely used tool in computational biology
 - 10s of thousands of citations



Indexing

Genomes are too large for dynamic programming
 Use an index to find candidate seeds to extend





http://cloudburst-bio.sourceforge.net



- Leverage Hadoop to build a distributed inverted index of k-mers and find end-to-end alignments
- 100x speedup over RMAP with 96 cores at Amazon EC2



CloudBurst: Highly Sensitive Read Mapping with MapReduce.

Schatz MC (2009) Bioinformatics. 25:1363-1369

MUMmerGPU

http://mummergpu.sourceforge.net

- Map many reads simultaneously on a GPU
 - Index reference using a suffix tree
 - Find matches by walking the tree
 - Find coordinates with depth first search
- Performance on nVidia GTX 8800
 - Match kernel was ~10x faster than CPU
 - Print kernel was ~4x faster than CPU
 - End-to-end runtime ~4x faster than CPU



High-throughput sequence alignment using Graphics Processing Units. Schatz, MC*, Trapnell, C*, Delcher, AL, Varshney, A. (2007) *BMC Bioinformatics* 8:474.

Optimizing data intensive GPGPU computations for DNA sequence alignment. Trapnell C^{*}, Schatz MC^{*}. (2009) *Parallel Computing*. 35(8-9):429-440.

Burrows-Wheeler Transform

Reversible permutation of the characters in a text



• BWT(T) is the index for T

implicitly encodes Suffix Array

A block sorting lossless data compression algorithm. Burrows M, Wheeler DJ (1994) Digital Equipment Corporation. Technical Report 124

Bowtie: Ultrafast Short Read Aligner

- Quality-aware backtracking of BWT to rapidly find the best alignment(s) for each read
- BWT precomputed once, easy to distribute, and analyze in RAM
 - 3 GB for whole human genome
- Support for paired-end alignment, quality guarantees, etc...
 - Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology* 10:R25.



BWT(Reference)





BWT(Reference)





BWT(Reference)

























Comparison to MAQ & SOAP

	CPU time	Wall clock time	Reads mapped per hour (millions)	Peak virtual memory footprint (MB)	Bowtie speedup	Reads aligned (%)
Bowtie -v 2 (server)	15m:07s	15m:41s	33.8	1,149	(¥	67.4
SOAP (server)	91h:57m:35s	91h:47m:46s	0.10	13,619	351x	67.3
Bowtie (server)	17m:58s	18m:26s	28.8	1,353		71.9
Bowtiebest (server)	46m:54s	47m:23s	11.2	2,383	2.6x	72.0
Maq (server)	32h:56m:53s	32h:58m:39s	0.27	804	107x	74.7

Performance and sensitivity of Bowtie v0.9.6, SOAP v1.10, Maq v0.6.6 when aligning 8.84M reads from the 1000 Genome project [NCBI Short Read Archive:SRR001115] trimmed to 35 base pairs. The "soap.contig" version of the SOAP binary was used. SOAP could not be run on the PC because SOAP's memory footprint exceeds the PC's physical memory. For the SOAP comparison, Bowtie was invoked with "-v 2" to mimic SOAP's default matching policy (which allows up to 2 mismatches in the alignment and disregards quality values). For the Maq comparison Bowtie is run with its default policy, which mimics Maq's default policy of allowing up to 2 mismatches in the first 28 bases and enforcing an overall limit of 70 on the sum of the quality values at all mismatched positions. To make Bowtie's memory footprint more comparable to Maq's, Bowtie is invoked with the "-z" option in all experiments to ensure only the forward or mirror index is resident in memory at one time.

http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

- Align billions of reads and find SNPs
 - Reuse software components: Hadoop Streaming
- Map: Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009)
 - Find best alignment for each read
 - Emit (chromosome region, alignment)
- Shuffle: Hadoop
 - Group and sort alignments by region
- Reduce: SOAPsnp (Li et al., 2009)
 - Scan alignments for divergent columns
 - Accounts for sequencing error, known SNPs

Performance in Amazon EC2

http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/crossbow

	Asian Individual Genome			
Data Loading	3.3 B reads	106.5 GB	\$10.65	
Data Transfer	lh :15m	40 cores	\$3.40	
Setup	0h : I 5m	320 cores	\$13.94	
Alignment	lh:30m	320 cores	\$41.82	
Variant Calling	Ih:00m	320 cores	\$27.88	
End-to-end	4h : 00m		\$97.69	

Analyze an entire human genome for ~\$100 in an afternoon. Accuracy validated at >99%

Searching for SNPs with Cloud Computing.

Langmead B, Schatz MC, Lin J, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Genome Biology.

Hardware Accelerated Mapping

	Complexity	Index Size	Access Style
Dynamic Programming	Very Simple	N/A	Regular Grid
Seed Hash Table	Simple	Moderate	Random Access
Suffix Tree	Moderate	Large	Pointer Jumping
Suffix Array	Moderate	Moderate	Binary Search
BWT	Difficult	Small	Range Queries

Short Read Assembly

- Genome assembly as finding an Eulerian tour of the de Bruijn graph
 - Human genome: >3B nodes, >10B edges
- The new short read assemblers require tremendous computation
 - Velvet (Zerbino & Birney, 2008) serial: > 2TB of RAM
 - ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009) MPI: 168 cores x ~96 hours
 - SOAPdenovo (Li et al., 2010) pthreads: 40 cores x 40 hours, >140 GB RAM

Contrail http://contrail-bio.sourceforge.net

De Novo Assembly of the Human Genome

- Genome: African male NA18507 (SRA000271, Bentley et al., 2008)
- Input: 3.5B 36bp reads, 210bp insert (~40x coverage)

Assembly of Large Genomes with Cloud Computing.

Schatz MC, Sommer D, Kelley D, Pop M, et al. In Preparation.

Summary

"NextGen sequencing has completely outrun the ability of good bioinformatics people to keep up with the data and use it well... We need a MASSIVE effort in the development of tools for "normal" biologists to make better use of massive sequence databases."

Jonathan Eisen – JGI Users Meeting – 3/28/09

- Surviving the data deluge means computing in parallel
 - Good solutions for "easy" parallel problems, but gets fundamentally more difficult as dependencies get deeper
- Emerging technologies are a great start, but we need continued research integrating computational biology with research in HPC
 - A word of caution: new technologies are new

Acknowledgements

Advisor

Steven Salzberg

UMD Faculty

Mihai Pop, Art Delcher, Amitabh Varshney, Carl Kingsford, Ben Shneiderman, James Yorke, Jimmy Lin, Dan Sommer

CBCB Students

Adam Phillippy, Cole Trapnell, Saket Navlakha, Ben Langmead, James White, David Kelley

Thank You!

http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/~mschatz @mike_schatz

Burrows-Wheeler Transform

- Recreating T from BWT(T)
 - Start in the first row and apply LF repeatedly, accumulating predecessors along the way

BWT/Bowtie slides from Ben Langmead

Exact Matching

 LFc(r, c) does the same thing as LF(r) but it ignores r's actual final character and "pretends" it's c:

Exact Matching

 Start with a range, (top, bot) encompassing all rows and repeatedly apply LFc:

top = LFc(top, qc); bot = LFc(bot, qc)

qc = the next character to the left in the query

Ferragina P, Manzini G: Opportunistic data structures with applications. FOCS. IEEE Computer Society; 2000.

Checkpointing in FM Index

- LF(i, qc) must determine the *rank* of qc in row i
- Naïve way: count occurrences of **qc** in all previous rows
 Linear in length of text too slow

Checkpointing in FM Index

• Once we know a row contains a legal alignment, how do we determine its position in the reference?

• Naïve solution 1: Use UNPERMUTE to walk back to the beginning of the text; number of steps = offset of hit

• Linear in length of text – too slow

• Naïve solution 2: Keep pre-calculated offsets (the suffix array) in memory and do lookups

• Suffix array is ~12 GB for human – too big

 Hybrid solution (due to F&M): Pre-calculate offsets for some "marked" rows; use UNPERMUTE to walk from the row of interest to next marked row to the left

• Bowtie marks every 32nd row by default (configurable)

FM Index is Small

- Entire FM Index on DNA reference consists of:
 - BWT (same size as T)
 - Checkpoints (~15% size of T)
 - SA sample (~50% size of T)

Assuming 2-bit-per-base encoding and no compression, as in Bowtie

Assuming a 16-byte checkpoint every 448 characters, as in Bowtie

Assuming Bowtie defaults for suffixarray sampling rate, etc

• Total: ~1.65x the size of T

